



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	'FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/194,552	03/23/1999	PETER BROOKS	TSRI481.2	3335
7590 06/25/2004			EXAMINER	
THE SCRIPPS RESEARCH INSTITUTE 10550 NORTH TORREY PINES ROAD MAIL DROP TPC 8 LA JOLLA, CA 92037			HARRIS, ALANA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	
			DATE MAILED: 06/25/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/194,552	BROOKS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 November 2003</u> .						
·-						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	13 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 60,65-84 and 88-108 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) <u>88-108</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 60 and 65-84 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ratent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments and Amendments

1. Claims 60, 65-84 and 88-108 are pending.

Claims 61-64 and 85-87 have been cancelled.

Claims 88-108 have been added.

Claims 60, 65-84 and 88-108 are examined on the merits.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Withdrawn Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

3. The rejection of claims 60 and 65-84 set forth in Paper number 25, mailed May 21, 2003 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention is withdrawn in light of Applicants' claim amendments. Claims 61, 62 and 85-87 have been cancelled.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 60 is objected to because of the following informality: it repeats SEQ ID NO: 15 twice. Applicants should delete one of the "15" recitation.

Art Unit: 1642

New Grounds of Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

5. Claims 60 and 65-84 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 60 broadly states "[a] method for inhibiting $\alpha_v\beta_s$ mediated angiogenesis... comprising administering ... an angiogenesis-inhibiting amount of an $\alpha_v\beta_s$ antagonist, wherein said antagonist is a matrix metalloproteinase polypeptide that *includes* an amino acid residue sequence shown in SEQ ID NO: [11-15, 17 and 19-22]." Applicants have not provided sufficient evidence that they were in possession of the infinite number of polypeptides that include the denoted sequences. In essence the sequences are amongst undefined amino acid residues that may exist on the amino- and carboxy- termini of SEQ ID NO: 11-15, 17 and 19-22. Applicants are not in possession of the plethora of polypeptides that comprise the defined sequences of SEQ ID NO: 11-15, 17 and 19-22. Moreover, the antagonist could be just a single amino acid residue as set forth in claim 60, lines 5 and 6.

Applicants must disclose a representative number of species when claims read on an entire genus. Applicants' claims embody a host of antagonists, which has not been provided within the specification. Applicants seem to only be in possession of $\alpha_v\beta_5$ antagonists consisting of SEQ ID NO: 11-15, 17 and 19-22.

Art Unit: 1642

Applicant is reminded that *Vas-Cath* makes clear that the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. 112 is severable from its enablement provision (see page 115).

With the exception of the matrix metalloproteinase polypeptide antagonists consisting of SEQ ID NO: 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 19, 20, 21 and 22, the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed structure or activity of potential antagonists comprising any one of the said sequences and therefore conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of isolation. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and a reference to a potential method of isolating it. The polypeptide itself is required. See *Fiers v. Revel*, 25 USPQ 2d 1601 at 1606 (CAFC 1993) and *Amgen Inc. V. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Lts.*, 18 USPQ2d 1016.

Furthermore, In *The Reagents of the University of California v. Eli Lilly* (43 USPQ2d 1398-1412), the court held that a generic statement which defines a genus of nucleic acids by only their functional activity does not provide an adequate written description of the genus. The court indicated that while Applicants are not required to disclose every species encompassed by a genus, the description of a genus is achieved by the recitation of a representative number of DNA molecules, usually defined by a nucleotide sequence, falling within the scope of the claimed genus. At section B(1), the court states that "An adequate written description of a DNA...'requires a precise definition, such as by

Art Unit: 1642

structure, formula, chemical name, or physical properties', not a mere wish or plan for obtaining the claimed chemical invention".

The specification does not evidence the possession of all the polypeptides that include the defined $\alpha_v \beta_5$ antagonists consisting of SEQ ID NO: 11-15, 17 and 19-22 which may or may not be capable of inhibiting angiogenesis. There is insufficient to support the generic claims as provided by the Interim Written Description Guidelines published in the June 15, 1998 Federal Register at Volume 63, Number 114, pages 32639-32645.

The full breadth of the claims do not meet the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

unnecessarily and improperly extensive and undue.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 88-108 are allowed.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0831. The examiner works a flexible schedule, but can normally be reached between the hours, 6:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christine Y. Chan can be reached on (703) 272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1642

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALANA M. HARRIS, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.

22 June 2004